

P.M. 26/1/94

REPLY
MEMORANDUM

MR - risk or worth it. Am sure if ok
 for women contras = our goal
 C/E - agree. but reliability
 FS - agree. many of errors
 SSIM - ✓
 H/Sec - ✓ - don't stick.

Am / ✓
 If we float it, what does she say?
 or stop team flooding it publicly.

Am / ✓
 US give GAT a visa, what say?

C/E low key reply - to be deal
 FS or easy.

Chris M → say a dance colleague / spec rel.
 (P) was Robert's in front on Spec Rel.
 Influence with Ham's book

n) Re Big One!
 Making low track.

★ to. (anti) declarator posⁿ.

3. on ret all
 & lower
 classification

? Who? Am / H Sec (BNH)

19 Feb - Opportunity.

page

(B) Talks MFA (A) SIVIP

- (i) focus - lot time was OK prepared
 We don't want just OK/Not (d.1 for of not)
- ∴ - Shaded outcome distribution needs of
 indicating areas of agreement / negotiation
- (ii) more work to get more data - but not do
 ∴ continue on bilateral include con-bilaterals

PM Collagen - Annals → Exec Co → NI Dots →
 Others no. PM ∴ under JD Langton.

PM go forward on Friday - Friday ^{was} ~~pm~~
 to go to

(C) Talks FAH - publish range for over a 3 strands
 PS } at void FAH counterbalance. or process
FAH - describe stand 1 outcome

Be ready by Easter

26/1

fm
FS C/E
NS
JOHN
SOS Def.
MA

1000

FOOD
DZ
Kodak
Chin W
Pard L
DST
X (ms)
JShylens

* Assessment
* Face-sung/hung
* Clarification -
 make explicit
 JM
* Clarification - block out

(A)
JD

bring, Elementary meaning
Avoid vacuum.
∴ Tachs. (exp if AF fail)

* SF unity mid
too is admission ∴ judge?
rejection
BUT not - down vector / force point

FT n/w - not axis (stronger)

2) fm If aware ✓

If not, low respond. why low give for comp - why did JM dis

JD ex. so far, low OS/NS clarification risk (also JM) *
 low emph for neg halon

(~~risk of BLOWN?~~)

End-Jammy - V's flow calling for crashdown to
Outage
Rejection (de facto)

how to ask to say what we will do.

* Apm on not axis / IBC important
over prohibitions.

↳ low resp phases on such fast
low resp indicators.
low resp clarification

SEN
FCS
PM } feeds
articles
whom
? JM

3) FS Spring im + ? 21 Feb appy

Clarification - we let to heard 'make clear'
FS is working to 21 January.

MA? Interpretation with context of text - no new language

SF

we must little
for reject subsequent
by - from him

MR know publicly to make clarification
SF relatively comfortable (?*)

* let Bot prep for wines requiring clarification

H/Sec

difficult answer to him. Go on saying, in need for
clarification

C/E

No end to evidence - not outright rejection. maybe some
clarifications and encourage SF. Bot then start
murmuring. v. many of going o/side text.
Such Bot/SDF in. type. no gap
∴ Talks.

PM

a) let Bot - bring - do something (in language) * Talks
go toward saying (or writing).

or go further than before (CE)

ACTIONS

b) let FS & PM repeat. as H/S / CE
C/E - PM dir.

* c) PM to say in public what he ^{H/Sec} says privately?

d) Vi or JD as obstacle + crackdown. now stick to it

Adams USA

H/Sec - get Bot towards cordiality

H/Sec - speaker of Talks - the PM.

MR - PM speak only if new.

David

John - group re clarification

Lin - Talks
- speak PM/PS? John US press