FS/DC

FROM ALLIANCE ' TO !!

### First Meeting of the Drafting Committee Dublin Castle, 19 May 1995

J

### Summary Note

1. The first meeting of the Drafting Committee was held in Dublin Castle on 19 May. The Committee was established by the Forum to explore the extent to which agreement could be reached on, and to seek to druft, a set of Reglitics relating to the nature of the problem and the Principles upon which a resolution might be based. The establishment of the Committee was in follow-up to a previous series of debates in the Forum on the "Nature of the Problem and the Principles underlying its Resolution". As an aid to the Committee's consideration of the issue, the Secretariat orculated a paper (F2/DC), setting out broad possible headings for a set of such Realities and Principles. This note sets out in summary form the main points of the discussion on 19 May. [A list of those attending

## Main Points of Discussion

- In her opening remarks, the Chairperson reiterated the background to the establishment 2. of the Committee. She emphasised the complex and sensitive nature of the initiative being embarked upon, and the need in that regard for a high level of confidentiality. She suggested that the Committee might seek to meet, in principle, once a fortnight, but that, allowing for the Washington Conference and other commitments, its next session might be scheduled for 9 June (ie three weeks hence). She saw the principal business of this first meeting as a general discussion of the role and objectives of the Committee.
- There followed a wide-ranging discussion along the lines suggested by the Chairperson. 3. It was clear that there was a broad spread of views among the members about what was envisaged. These may be summarised as follows (it will be clear that the points listed represent - in greatly condensed form - the views of individual, or groups of, members, and not in any sense the consensual position in each case of the Committee as a whole):
  - Was an agreed report being sought? Important groups were not in the Forum: there was a danger that the production of such a document would widen further the gap between those who were here and those who were not. One possibility in seeking to address this danger was to ensure, to the greatest extent feasible, that the unionist perspective was factored into the drafting process.
  - There was real value at this time in agreeing a set of principles which could be presented to the unionists as a basis for beginning a dialogue. This was a more proper approach than devising actual structures and models for government, given that unionists would certainly reject these. Unionist thinking was in an evolutionary phase and therefore presenting a set of principles for discussion to them now could be most timely. The Forum's capacity to engage in this process had been strengthened by the fact that it had received input from groups and individuals reflecting the views of important and

1

influential communities inside the unionist/loyalist tradition.

I MINNEY & MILL CALLED

What kind of principles were involved? Would they be of a "catch-all/motherhood" nature, to which everybody could sign up? If so, what was the value of such an exercise, which could be superficial? Were principles immutable? Reference was made to a similar "principles" exercise undertaken during the 1992 Talks. Moreover, were not the mann principles in any case already recently set out in the Joint Declaration and the Framework Document? Was there not a danger that the initiative now being proposed might lead to a pulling back from the principles set out in those two documents?

Quertions had been raised to which it was impossible to give answers at this time. What was involved was an incremental process - answers might become clearer as we went along. What was being embarked upon was, in a sense, an update of the principles outlined in the New Ireland Forum Report. Indeed, on a specific note, it was suggested that members might re-look at the latter and consider the extent to which they were still relevant.

It was important to avoid any sense of a "pan-nationalist front".

- The work of the Committee, and indeed the Forum generally, could not be divorced from, and needed to keep in step with, what was happening elsewhere. One might hope for some convergence between such outside developments and the Committeee's work. Consensus on the key issues was going to be difficult, both in the Forum and outside, but they had to be seriously tackled. What was the position of the British Government?
- A fresh look was needed at the concept of consensus within the context of selfdetermination. What was required was a consensus-based decision which everybody could live with.

The difficulties were going to centre on the issues of self-determination, agreement and consent. Should the Committee start with these, or should one begin with the "easier" issues and move progressively to the "difficult"?

The assumption was that representatives in the Committee would seriously engage in its work but there was not going to be 100% agreement, certainly at the outset. There was a need for confidence building (within the Committee). The experience of the New Ireland Forum—and other negotiations—suggested that one left the difficult elements until the end

- There was a case for taking blocks of related realities and principles together. Delegations should review their initial papers on the Nature of the Problem in light of subsequent discussions in the Forum.
- There was a case also for taking the realities first and, once those were agreed, moving on to the principles. Moreover, it was preferable, if the Committee was to be workable, that in each case the first and other early drafts came from the Secretariat (rather than

3

have every Delegation tabling its own). As a first step, the Secretariat could flesh out the headings listed in F2/DC.

#### NextSteps

- 4. Following this discussion, it was agreed that, as a next step, the Sceretariat would produce a draft in advance of the next meeting, fleshing out the headings under the Realities section of F2/DC. It was agreed that Delegations could forward any views in this regard directly to the Sceretariat.
- 5 The next meeting was fixed for Friday 9 June

Torum Secretariat 31 May 1995

# Drafting Committee Meeting, 19 May 1995

List of Attenders

Lianna Fáil

Neel Dempsey

SDLP

John Home Scannis Mallon

Tine Cine!

Austin Currie Dan Egan

Labour

Brian Fitzgerald Fergus Finlay

Sinn Fein

Pat Doherty Rita O'Hare

Propressive Democrats

John Dardis

Alliance

John Alderdice Scamus Close

Democratic Left

Seamus Lynch Paddy Gillen

Green Party Comboontas

Mar

Eugene O'Shea

Schator Gordon Wilson

Senator Gordon Wilson

Aisling Magnire

Independent Deputies

Neil Blaney Iom Foxe

Independent Senators

Joe Lee

Inda Dowling

Workers' Party

John Loxery
Pat Ouearnex