

25.6.91.

2.15pm Plenary.

"We are here to test whether there are useful bases for moving forward ... common bases ..." SOS introduction.

Moved on to workplan item 6 - a series of items of a general nature which appeared to be common to all.

Considered item 1 - 14/5.

After, initially difficult introductions, we moved on to item 6 → talking about actual structures. This opened things - though the general issues remained around in our minds. The atmosphere calmed down. Pensions was especially more open. Mallon made some comments of general nature, which touched on personally important issues.

1 made in interpretation - two fold

① I understood that the pro-union people felt divided.

We too had been regard in terms of the Agreement - it was important for nationalists to know this. What comments had to address was why this was? Refers to mental dynamism - & all that may be possible is not to have the love or attachment, but just to find a way of living together.

② It seemed frustrated that his wish for the nationalist analysis to be met by a Marxist analysis may be either because there is not just the two sides, but a third identity - liberal & dissenting. Or it may be that Marxism has been the one view or analysis.

PC Grady made out that he did not understand what I was saying - I suspected that his party had not asked a single question of clarification to my paper, as the unions had - so I assumed that he did understand.