

Recommending Sub Cte

25-2-98

Adam Ingram

Dept decm scheme - looking through.

substance in HMG + RLG drafts is same,  
difference in form only relative to respective  
parliaments

Preliminary

para 5, page 5.

type of information

para 2(a) pg 5.

New orgs which may emerge which  
are currently not proscribed

para 7 3) a) not intentionally disclose  
para 10 2) c) 'to the other participants in the pol negs.'

Q. different starting times for different para groups?  
depending on when they present themselves

Minister's  
presentation

length of time

de Cherkelai ; Ambassador of Slovenia

come back in a week I have given them technical detail & attention - differences in drafts

Technical

Erzsebet Neminen

part 5 - provision info. appropriate contract has to be facilitated

2) 2) a) paramit org. commence once Commission clear intention to <sup>decommission</sup> arms

7 3) a) not 'intentionally disclose' accidents

intention is to

Some negotiations before end of negotiations Steve M' Bride

disappointing that we are only getting there now only ~~the~~ weeks before the end of the process.  
VIP not here - are they serious?

worrying that at this stage we are so  
further down the road.

Mr McClelland

Welcome opps to further consult.  
we've done very useful work

(?)

practical & legal requirements - decomm.  
will be a voluntary process.

SDLP wish to make this as exclusive a  
process as possible and broken where

Ben de Chastelain - UDP have other  
statements (in response to McBride)  
which they & we consider overriding.

No imminent decommencing

Magenais

seen abbreviated what I say  
by stating that Steve McBride need underpins  
UDP position.

As long as commission says its OK we  
would have nothing to add or take  
from it.

No normal society can accept illegal  
organisations - specifying & otherwise

If there is to be an inclusive outcome to the process that will necessitate total disarmament process.

More immediate problem - what might happen if we are prematurely burdened with 8F again?

Pragmatism not satisfactory to my party as we know that leads for threats.

9th April - ref 1st week = May.  
if nothing in place by that time re: disarmament.  
then we couldn't sign the final agreement.

Billy

Reserve judgement

Dennis - what does Ken mean

Ken - it would be irresponsible to leave this forum and to ask for endorsement in reality of an agreement the

placing an onus to spell out to an exactly how they (govts) will deal with threat to violence

reasonable guarantee

would be content if RA disarmament

∴ looking for victory over

logical analysis of Ken is that volume works!

Monday 9th 10.30 a.m. adjournment.