## Dermot Nally Papers

UCDA P254/97

ISSUED BY THE COVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES: O SHEPBIHSI ECLAS AN RALTAS THE (01) MIG 7506 Fac (01) MIG 32

ON BEHALF O

Roinn an Taoisigh, Balle Átha Cliath 2. Tel. (01) 668 9333

Speech by the Taoiseach, Mr. Albert Reynolds, T.D., at UCD Law Society's Cearbail Ó bálaigh Memorial Graduate Law Dinner, in Barberstown Castle on Thursday, 20 January 1994, at 8.45 p.m.

Self-Determination and Consent in the Joint Declaration

for Peace in Northern Ireland

Carbhall O Báirgh was a man of tremendous integrity and talent. His sense of justice was exemplay. He will always be remembered for the registrational part the played in the development of judicial interpretation of the Constitution and for his defence of the dignity of the individual. It is to the credit of the Law Society that you have instituted a meriorial lecture in his broows.

Cearball loved his country and tis culture. He strove always to be inclusive. He was over eager to unite all sections of irish society with a common bond of mutual respect and understanding. His continuous striving to learn from, and to understand others better, and to be, just, fair and generous in his dealings with all, set a fine example. Commenting on his unfinely death, Sichhin McKenna said simply, We had dots a man-velous man'.

I feel it is particularly appropriate for me tonight, before an audience of lawyers, speak on a subject that has a legisl as well as a political dimension. If the peace process is to make progress, it is important to recognise that the Peace Declaration is not up for reagation. Nevertheesis, it is visal that any ground solutes or confusions be cleared up. One of the most important issues that might benefit from a more detailed explanation is the right of self-determination and the allad notion of government by agreement and consent, which are central concepts in the Joint Declaration agreed between the British Prime Minister and myself on 15 December.

It has been suggested in some quarters that the Joint Declaration provides insufficient recognition of the firsh people right to self-determination. It is also held that the so-called Unionist guarantee is in contradiction to it. It is essential to examine these assertions. It would be traight, if the pance process were to be blocked, because of basic misunderstandings about how self-determination operates in international law and international politics, and because committing understandly was been goodn't, that was no consistent with international politics.

The right of indicious self-determination has been one of the most powelf potition prompties of the 20th century, second only to decreasing, the sepansible for creating the new States of Central and Esterin Europe after the First World Way. In several profits of the World decorporation process. As a result, we now have an investment on committee of the comm

The adoption by President Wison of the principle of self-determination in 1917 was an historical turning point. But from the beginning he linked the right of nations to free self-determination to the principle of consent, when he stated:

"national aspirations must be respected; peoples may now be dominated and ooverned by their own consent".

President Wison also stressed that national separations would be "seconded the unions statistication that could be given, whose introducing new, or prepressuring old, elements of clascord and antagonism that would be likely in turn to disturb the paper of Fluryne's. The first could also paper of his priviley was that all territorial settlements should be made in the interest and for the benefit of the populations concerned, and on the as a commonship of the size of the productions of

All of these points are relevant to Northern Ireland today, and show that the right to self-determination has to be applied sensitively, as a means of solving rather than exacerbating conflict, and with full regard to the wishes of the people.

Ireland's independence was clearly based on the principle of self-determination, the importance of which is reflected by its position as Article 1 of our Constitution.

We need to separate in our minds two different issues. The first is our view that partition was wrong in 1950-1. The second is how, given that feeded has been divided in two parts for 70 years, we can legitimately reactor in the units of mine fluids. Of the countries have a four flower list profiler motor out that servements that two wrongs do not make a right. The fact that partition was enforced back in 1950 does not make a fight to enforce must youtly.

It is obviously true that partition reflected deep division among the Irish people. It can nevertheless be argued by democratic Republicans that partition was wrong, in terms of the right of national self-determination.

Three is no doubt that British Governments at the time, both in the Home Bulle context and in the context of the establishment of the Infinite Sets, store hard in regolation to persuade Universities to maintain at least the Recade of Infinitum, Debbase constitution resistance by Universities to maintain at least the Recade of Infinitum, Debbase constitution resistance of Universities and Production of Infinitum and Production of Infinitum and Infinitum at Jungs town near Bellitati, which say solution rown must be bessed on concent, the considered in the New been an uniformative and costly maintain for the British Covernment not to have been an uniformative and costly maintain for the British Covernment not to have been an uniformative and costly maintain for the British Covernment not to have been an uniformative and costly maintain for the British Covernment not to have been an uniformative and costly maintain for the British Covernment not to have been an uniformative and the British Covernment of the British Covernment of the Infinite to the British Covernment of the British Cove

That UN Declaration, however, applied to situations that fairly sits to be settled. It is wan on intended to impose internal satisfaments that that already, been in existence for some considerable time. How we regard the post is one thing, on which we can and only optimizely differ. If it guide another issue, and a more relevant one, as to how we can reside the unsatisfactory differences that we have inherent on its empty with the prompts of international law are theselved to day. We all have to live by the generally recognised principles of international law.

A first after 1621. North and South moved resolve even further agent. The policies of account for the first force and vigour to found the first fination State presented a factor of cultural and political resolvation and in the absence of the Unionities community to the real first excellent resolvents and the serious of the certification of the first fi

Fear of Nationalist hecemony had been partly responsible for Unionist resistance to even a limited form of self-government for the island as a whole in 1912. That resistance had helped by example to precipitate complete independence for most of Ireland, and limited autonomy for the North. The tortuous and ultimately inconclusive nature of the 1921 Applo-Irish settlement has led one historian, less inclined to the straightforward colonial interpretation, to describe Ireland as "a classic for the study of national self-determination", in the sense of exhibiting most of the problems and complications of its application that were to appear elsewhere. The Applo-Irish Treaty of 1921 was the moment of truth, which decided rightly or wrongly how self-determination was in fact to be exercised in Ireland at that time. Given that Irish leaders, even at the time, felt it was not right or practicable for the rest of the Irish people to operce the Unionists of Ulster, the Treaty represented, for better or for worse, a reluctant compromise, which allowed the Unionist majority of the six counties of Northern Ireland to oot out of the Irish Free State, and remain with Britain. Nevertheless, both the Treaty and the Government of Ireland Act 1920 were based on the principle of "the essential unity of Ireland", and envisaged an evolution over time towards an agreed unity through joint institutions and North-South co-operation.

In international law, it is in the course of the constitutional settlement, when these issues are primarily decided. Subsequent developments have to take account of the new resities decided then as their starting-point, particularly over 70 years on. We cannot go back to the 1918 Election, or the First or Second Dáil, and persuade ourselves that neither the Reuculior on Northern fleshof really exist.

At the New Ireland Forum, an Alliance Party member Robin Glandinning admitted that the unfortunate effect of the 1921 sattlement, and he might have added of the

Boundary Commission Saloo, was that the Nationalist community in Northern Interiord were directed in right of self-determination as part of the wider inthin nation. This is thus, and to maintain that studion Northern Nationalists were subject to a good deel of cooking of virtuals listed issues that disk coft of the most important, features of the John Declaration is that it has researched the right of Northern Nationalists, which of owns they review to some set of print in self-determination, as specified. The states of Ireland not just Northern feetland, one makes to the trainmost for self-determination, toldower the just Northern feetland.

In Europe, flagrant abuse of the doctrine of self-determination to cover aggressive expensionist ambitions led to World Wir II. In the Atlanta Charter of 1941, the American President and the British Prime Minister declared that "they desire to see no territorial changes that do not accord with the freely expressed withhes of the people concerned." This principle became part of the noot-way order.

The UN Charter in Article 1 aims to develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples, and to take other appropriate measures to strengthen universal pasce<sup>1</sup>. Over the years, various UN instruments containing the principle were all primarily directed at the process of thockonissistion.

Those who quote Article 1 of the United Nations Chaner in support of lists self-determination other tend to forget Article 2, which states that "all members should settle their international disputes by peaceful imeans", a principle to which recent was already committed by Article 20 of 1st Constitution. Unlike the colonies in Africa and disewhere at the time of their independence, the Ast-determination involves an already sovereign Irish State, which is a member of the UN and directly bound by 1st Schart.

Symatories to the CSCE Helistik Pini Act also promise to respect "each others gript to feely choose and devision is political social-economic and cultural systems". They consider that their fornism can be changed in accordance with remarkational law", ket you comit themselves to refer in from the Here's or use of force against the territorial integrity of any State, a principle also reflected in the UNI Charter. The Helself Pini Act also says little", the consideration may be involved to some to warrant resort to the threat or use of force. Wigoplation, conciliation or other accordant regard of ortice must be used to state if soucks.

The United Nations in a Declaration on Principles of International Law in 1960 defines the different forms self-determination can take. The UN state that:

"the establishment of a sovereign and independent State, the free association or integration with an independent State, or the emergence into any other political status freely determined by a people constitute modes of implementing the right of self-determination by that people".

The fast mode, the establishment of a sovereign and independent State, applied to braded in ST21, even found, if was an incorporate relation of the right to self-determination. This second and third modes are what are relevant today, STEP are sturted feeting, with the feet constant of an applity of the people of Northern Island, or any other form of agreement between the people of Islands North and South, can constatt an act of self-determinant. The right to selfshift a united Island to Constant, feetly and concurrently expressed by the people of Island North and South, a persept viscoprised by the Petitod Novemment in the John Declaration. They also pedge to work for peace, statisty and reconciliation established by agreement, and to entitle agreement to be activated be expressed by the endocrarement with the arms of the state of the persent of the school be expressed by the endocrarement with a middle South of any comprehensive settlement that was consistent as more than and South of any comprehensive settlement that was consistent as more than and South of any comprehensive settlement that was consistent as more than and South of any comprehensive settlement that was consistent as more than and South of any comprehensive settlement that was consistent as more than the south of the persent to the settlement of the was consistent as the settlement that was consistent as more than the settlement to the was consistent as the settlement that was consistent as more than the settlement that was consistent as the settlement that was consistent as the settlement than was consistent as the settlement that was consistent as the settlement than the settlement that was consistent as the settlement that was

Another general principle froquenty enruciated with regard to the operation of self-determination in the optioner are is the postere were its in-where there is any suggestion of transferring sovereignly from one State to another, the consent of the inhaltants would be required to waitities such a change. This is not the ground to governments derive all their just govern the consent of the governed. Although because of the inkin Constitution, which see a found that industry in regarded more as one of overlapping claims to sovereignly, from other points of were. If a untiler before were to the trought such by apprenent, the British would be bound to give the necessary legislative effect to this exercise of the right of self-determination.

Ireland is one of about half a dozen countries that have been partitioned in the course of this century. Germany, Cyprus, Yemen, China, Korea are the most relevant examples for Ireland today, of how self-determination would operate.

The Federal Republic of Germany always regarded partition as wrong, and never wewered in its commitment to the goal of self-determination, written into its Constitution. According to their Suppresse Court, the West German State had to constitutional obligation to work for German reunification, even though theoretical variety German variety had never ceasaft on exist. The German example, carticularly between 1970 and 1990, shows that the existence of a constitutional obligation or imperative can be enfinely compatible with pleaseful, pood neighbourly relations. Whereas Adenauer sought to pressure the Soviet Union into German reunfraction, the Ostpolitic of Willy Brandt promoted change successfully and directly by dismarting harmers and building up trust. In the Treates of the 1970s, West Germany pletgod listed imequivocally to remounce the threat or use of force, whost provided in sportical goals or legal position.

In 1990, in implementation of the right of self-determination of the German people, the two German Parliaments ratified a unification treaty on the basis of the existing West German Constitution, though there was an alternative legal route instead to adopt an entirely new Constitution.

The relevant Ancies 23, which allowed the accession of other Glarman territories, was rended the day before Glarman unity, was rended the day before Glarman unity, which are transcelled in Friedrich. The Constitution provides in Ancies 15.2.2 for the recognition of authorisate legislatures. But in recent years the Observante's view has rendered to be that in the event of a united instead an entirely new Constitution vould be necessary controlled to the control of the control settlement which contained a fabilization constitutional commodition, constitutional changes used as they such a school of the control of the

In Oyporu, where partition is regarded as wrong by the international community, unrihibited exercise of the right to self-deternisation by a similar enganty would have meant enous's or union with Greace, which would be totally unacceptable to the Turkish Oyport community. It is now accepted by both Greek and Turkish Oyports that the majority cannot unlessingly determine the constitutional status of Oyports and that it must remine a soweriery independent State. The restoration of status effective unit of depends on anyement fruson reconstitutional status of

Yemen, which was divided by the British imperial power earlier this century, was united in 1990 by the concurrent yote of its two legislatures.

The most interesting parallel is Korea, which was effectively partitioned by the Soviet Linnin, following Beartion from Japanese coupsion in 1945. Over the last 10 years, South Korea has acknowledged that, although Korea was wrongly partitioned against the will of the Korean people, and atthough partition was meant only to be temporary, the two States have grown apart in an atmosphere of configuration and hostilly, in 1982, president Chun recognised that unly should not be sought by violent memors. He said that fundication must be accomplated on the promption changes devidenment and not though demonstrate and possedul procedures that reflect the free will of the entire popple. He suggested the two spokes registed and stroot constitution for unless officers, and make it from through the demonstrate reflectments and throughout the perinaus. This is preceibly the same as the occurrent self-determination procedure enteraged in the outer area and the occurrent self-determination procedure enteraged in the outer respect and protecting is a required process.

Over the past two years, I have been advocating and instituting closer economic and cultural co-operation between North and South, developing and preparing the ground for future agreements.

I hope I have amply demonstrated that the right is self-determination of the people of releand North and South concurrently, now recognized by the Bittle floorance for the first time in the John Declaration, is fully in conformity with present international less and practice, as it has developed over the past TO years. The Nationalist community in Northern Ireland is not being short-changed today, even if it was in the posit.

We have leveled the full distance on the cereal principles of self-intermination and opensor. The first Doverment will not been interestation algorements or dely international law. This Joint Human-Adams Statement Of 24 April 1903, saying that the acception of professional interestation and the first disease of the self-internation is a matter for agreement between the people of interest, is fully in oporthomy, with the resalities, and with the Joint Declaration, as it the statement that we load the exposite that such a new agreement is only achievable and visible if it can earn and only by the allogistics of the different for the public billeternies. It is that do sunderstand why the Joint Declaration should be encountering so many revenued constraints.

The faith peace in intake from its incoption has been bissed on a billatino between blesher exception of the faith people is got to deli-determination and my acceptance, on behalf of the sink Government, that the democratic right of activities of the sink of the sink Government, that the democratic right of activities of the people of installed as where must be democratic right of exercised with the agreement and consent of the people of Northern Instand. My exercised only the people of the sink of the people of Northern Instand. My why, because it is not a straightforward matter in our particular situation, we have that to set down the principles usaming quality, in a wely this does justified to statistics. complexities. We have always made it clear, and the Republican movement could never have been in any doubt on the matter, that there could and would be no breach of the Angio-Irish Agreement, which clearly recognises the principle of consent.

Let us frankly acknowledge the reality that, in order to exercise the right of self-determination of the firsh people as a whole, we should recognize that the people of Northern Ireland have the right to determine by a majority whether they wish to stay with Britain or join a sovereign united or agreed treland.

There has been much misleading side of a Unionist wite, not meetly with regard to constitutional strate, it is not a prolitical progress. With regard to a change in constitutional strate, it is the consent of a regionly that counts. In the Joint Declaration and outside of it, both communities have been given the same rock-exide constitutional guarantees. Cross-community support is needed since proceedings of the properties. The possible challenge to both communities and to the people of this State is to registate a comprehensive new process and the procedure of the state is to registate a comprehensive new procedures and the procedure of the state in the constitution of the state is to registate a comprehensive new Constitution of the state is to registate a comprehensive new constitution of the state is to registate a comprehensive new constitution of the state is to registate a comprehensive new constitution of the state is to registate a comprehensive new constitution of the state is to registate and constitution of the state in the state of the state

## To sum up my main points:

- . The spirit of self-determination is essentially about government with the
- However wrong partition has been, divided countries can only exercise the right of self-determination concurrently, as envisaged in the Joint Declaration;
- Issues of sovereignty over any substantial territory ought not to be finally resolved by contending States over the heads of the inhabitants of the area in question without their consent;
- The same international agreements, like the UN Charter and the CSCE Final
  Act, that enshrine the right to self-determination, also outlaw in all
  circumstances the use or threat of violence to change frontiers;
- Self-determination can result in an agreed Ireland, whatever form that may take:

- Under the Declaration, the Nationalist people of Northern Ireland have had their existing right of self-determination, which they share with the rest of the people of this island on the basis which I have set out this evening, formally recognised:
- The context has been transformed, and the centre of gravity has now been
  moved to the people of Ireland themselves to work out their own agreement.

Accordingly, there is not merely no mirell justification, but also no cortenent ideological bases, for continuation of amost straigle to actives collective national self-determination by the lish people as a whole, whose regard, in the last record, to the wishes of the people of Northern relation. That is looking for comenting that is impossible, both politically and in terms of the principles of international law. The just produced in the production of the production of the principles of international law. The just produced in the production of the principles of international law. The just produced in the production of the production of the principles of production production. The production of the production of

The shatlend ones out for responsible leadership, for an ecopyrison of position resisting and opportunities, and the inferent oparamed of peace. One the past few weeks, I have explained and calified as many matters at John, without preempting the many bases within a only for registerist, in the whole table processe by means anythms, is a not time for all finish peace to accommodage maturely that the means anythms, is a not time for all finish peace to accommodage maturely that the positions in primely in our own handed. Should we not accept, a stated in the Declaration, and as emphasised over and over again by John Hume, that is for make who believe in that my to presulted inces who do not." The Richard implement any agreement the people of treated can reach, and will help to achieve such an agreement.

There is no way round, and no short cut through, the requirement of agreement admit concern. The sace of their why primor to become, either milistry or opticality. The time is appropriately, when the people of related will demand derification, on whether all parametry and associated policities of operations have the elinone commitment to creating peace on the sistend that they protess. The first responsibility for that colors can be shifted on the one relate. Short class want to see in recent trish people short me of South having to endure further volence and? Some time ago, the Sinn Féin leadership embarked courageously on a path destined to lead to peace. As they well know, John Hume and the SDLP and the Irish Government have sought to assist and facilitate them in reaching a positive decision, in every way we could, not least in the course of the very tough and difficult negotiations, which we conducted between June and December with the British Government. I and the Government have pursued to its logical conclusion. an Irish initiative designed to enable everyone to accept peace with honour and to begin a process of demilitarisation on all sides. I would urge those who see the merits of a new direction not to falter now, not to go back on the progressive spirit of Hume-Adams, but to show the courage, which will bring the section of the community that has supported them for so long into the mainstream of Irish political life, through participation in the Forum for Peace and Reconciliation and in future all-party negotiations. This Forum will be the first North-South institution to start the process of building an environment for peace with justice and for reconciliation between both traditions, as we all set out together on the road to an agreed Ireland through the acceptance of this new dynamic.