CONFIDENTIAL

FROM: PS/MICHAEL ANCRAM
11 NOVEMBER 1993

PS/Michael Ancram (DENI, B&L) - B PS/PUS (B&L) - B F.P.) WA PS/Mr Fell - B Mr Thomas - B Mr Bell - B Mr Watkins - B Mr Brooker - B Mr Cooke - B PS/Secretary of State (B&L) - B Mr Maccabe - B Mr Quinn - B Mr Beeton, SIL - B Ms Lodge, SIL - B Mr Kyle - B Mr Archer, RID - B HMA Dublin - B Mr Hallett, SIL - B Mr Caine - B

AMENDMENT OF ARTICLES 2 AND 3 OF THE IRISH CONSTITUTION

Michael Ancram has seen Ms Lodge's submission of 9 November and has observed that this is a most interesting analysis which would give comfort to Dr Paisley who is equally sceptical about Irish intentions in relation to Articles 2 & 3.

- 2. The practical question is whether the Irish want to achieve a settlement by consent as expressed by Mr Spring. If so, they must know that an addition to or a fudge of Articles 2 & 3 will not wash and would kill all prospect for consent. If they are sincere in seeking a settlement and/or securing peace they must know that they will have to abandon the territorial claim as such. The Minister fears that clever solutions like the one at Annex F would create enormous suspicion and would likely be fatal to any settlement.
- 3. The broader question is how much self-confidence the Irish have that if à la Hume co-existence follows a settlement for a generation or so unity will occur naturally. If they do not believe that then we should begin to worry about their long-term intentions towards Northern Ireland anyway.

SIGNED

KEITH JAGELMAN PS/Michael Ancram OAB Extn 6488 11 November 1993