



NORTHERN IRELAND OFFICE
WHITEHALL
LONDON SW1A 2AZ

SECRETARY OF STATE
FOR

~~RODNEY HILL~~ Esq
Private Secretary to Prime Minister
10 Downing Street

10 December 1993

Dear Rod,

JOINT DECLARATION INITIATIVE: BRUSSELS NEGOTIATIONS

Thank you for your earlier note of this afternoon negotiations. I attach, in response, a briefing note in similar style. It is the product of discussions here with Quentin Thomas, and brief discussion with my Secretary of State and Michael Ancram.

Our analysis here is that the Irish are either deliberately playing hard in the end game to see what they can get out of us, or (less likely) have already heard that JD will not do the trick with the Provisionals and would not therefore mind a break with the British. Either way, since the Irish seem prepared to open up a few issues which we thought to be closed, you might want to counter with some suggestions which will be equally unpalatable to the Irish. Examples include insisting on references to "separate consent" in paragraph 4 and a commitment now to a referendum to withdraw the Irish claim of right (some sources are suggesting that the Irish might have been prepared to concede this).

The key issues are obviously paragraph 4 and the Convention/Forum. Both go to the heart of how unionists will react to the declaration. Ministers on Thursday judged that the reference to "a sovereign united Ireland" in paragraph 4 and any reference to the

MUSSELS DISCUSSION OF J15: LINES TO TAKE

(References to (a), (b), etc) are to Rod Lyne's note of 10 December
Convention/Forum would stoke unionist fears. Our view is
that this remains a valid judgement. But Mr Molyneux
subsequently said that a reference to the Forum would be
acceptable if the original Irish paragraphs 10 and 11 were
proving difficult to remove. So we reluctantly conclude we
can accept a reference to the Forum providing it is clearly
limited to an advisory role.

Fall back (only if pressed hard): omit "statutory" rather than
On paragraph 4, we should continue to insist on removal of
the words "as of right" and sovereign united Ireland". The
latter in particular was of concern to Mr Molyneux. We
have suggested possible fallbacks.

(r) Paragraph 4, first sentence: Irish redraft.
Copies go to John Sawers and Melanie Leach.

Accept, (but note that the sentence as it now reads adds an
extra reference to a "united Ireland" to the paragraph as a
whole) we propose it on the basis that the later reference to
a "sovereign united Ireland" would be omitted.)

Yours,

Jonathan

(d) Paragraph 4, second sentence:
Continue strongly to resist Irish addition of "of any
description". If Irish continue to press, revert to earlier
British proposal of "no selfish interest in Northern Ireland
which would lead them to oppose a united Ireland if that were
the wish of a majority of the people of Northern Ireland."

(e) Paragraph 4, fourth sentence:
Continue to press for deletion of "assist".