

# Reduced session 5.10 pm.

Tch examined. None of my immediate reaction nor changed. Balance book have to find a way to do the trick. This wasn't.

- i) Probe your for things
  - ii) separate - why not 'concordant'
  - iii) claim of rights is good. Supreme Court of the Netherlands
  - iv) ~~concordant~~ a pattern for you: ~~perhaps~~ <sup>perhaps</sup> ~~concordant~~ <sup>is in our jurisdiction</sup> ~~you can always do/~~ <sup>you can always do/</sup> ~~perhaps~~ <sup>perhaps</sup> ~~concordant~~
- Four major areas under it by forms.

pm Balance new there from our <sup>regulator</sup> ~~regulator~~ <sup>court</sup> ~~court~~ grants. on key concern decision. What do I say if ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> goes out with it. ~~with~~ <sup>with</sup> ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> ~~not~~ <sup>not</sup> ~~an~~ <sup>an</sup> ~~issue~~ <sup>issue</sup>.

SOW ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> ~~not~~ <sup>not</sup> ~~an~~ <sup>an</sup> ~~issue~~ <sup>issue</sup>. ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~be~~ <sup>be</sup> ~~regarded~~ <sup>regarded</sup> ~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> ~~an~~ <sup>an</sup> ~~issue~~ <sup>issue</sup>.

FCS one-sided is good. Has to be directed to both audiences. No good going one

pm ~~fall~~ <sup>fall</sup> ~~out~~ <sup>out</sup> ~~explicitly~~ <sup>explicitly</sup> ~~press~~ <sup>press</sup> ~~pressure~~ <sup>pressure</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~both~~ <sup>both</sup> ~~audiences~~ <sup>audiences</sup>, ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~form~~ <sup>form</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~US~~ <sup>US</sup> ~~obligation~~ <sup>obligation</sup>. ~~Two~~ <sup>Two</sup> ~~structures~~ <sup>structures</sup> - ~~1) (Elaboration~~ <sup>1) (Elaboration</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~press~~ <sup>press</sup>

- a) affirmation of both ~~being~~ <sup>being</sup> ~~together~~ <sup>together</sup> ~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> ~~fundamental~~ <sup>fundamental</sup> ~~can~~ <sup>can</sup> ~~be~~ <sup>be</sup> ~~done~~ <sup>done</sup> ~~only~~ <sup>only</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~form~~ <sup>form</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~indivisible~~ <sup>indivisible</sup> ~~entity~~ <sup>entity</sup> ~~with~~ <sup>with</sup> ~~talks~~ <sup>talks</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~division~~ <sup>division</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~Union~~ <sup>Union</sup> ~~at~~ <sup>at</sup> ~~future~~ <sup>future</sup> ~~stage~~ <sup>stage</sup>, ~~if~~ <sup>if</sup> ~~concern~~ <sup>concern</sup>

Martin M. ~~Why~~ <sup>Why</sup> ~~understand~~ <sup>understand</sup> ~~union~~ <sup>union</sup>. ~~You~~ <sup>You</sup> ~~are~~ <sup>are</sup> ~~now~~ <sup>now</sup> ~~fully~~ <sup>fully</sup> ~~reformed~~ <sup>reformed</sup> ~~union~~ <sup>union</sup>.

a) 3 & 4 ~~into~~ <sup>into</sup> ~~intro~~ <sup>intro</sup> (extramods)

b) we need document - claim rights ~~for~~ <sup>for</sup> ~~altos~~ <sup>altos</sup> ~~status~~ <sup>status</sup> ~~quo~~ <sup>quo</sup>. ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~talks~~ <sup>talks</sup>, ~~maybe~~ <sup>maybe</sup>. ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~form~~ <sup>form</sup>

c) separate ~~physically~~ <sup>physically</sup> ~~separating~~ <sup>separating</sup>.



with your amendments, your work.

Finally look at few key points in para 4 & para 7  
for, really I look at language, etc

Officials. finest, perhaps in Min (Leam - Du) later.  
take stock in Brussels.

T. for saying something. more time, more scope for accidents.  
for, for help wreckers.

for agreement for June statement is put down in corner  
can only 1 ~~point~~ ~~point~~ done on this work. right way  
to do it a bit later.

T. to consider this at the time.  
we have in addition a potential problem. have a proposal  
challenge of peace opportunity. If you don't go forward,  
we may go unilateral.

for unilateral had line of Roberts too likely.  
unilateral will be less our chance in it.  
Danger of a unilateral is opening up dots.

for Frank dinner  
clearer and

make sure papers.

working fine during Dr '92.

Would they us.