

23.3.98

Jane
Monica
Kala
Pearl.

legal basis of NSC.

23.3.98 Strenuous

Gary: listen to our lang: we remain to be convinced by
Mark, SDLP: need for written Assembly

18x5 happy
if have to submit ^{to members} will look at 18x6.
still not convinced of party system, not A M S.
(but remain to be convinced)

still not

Parties should not set each the preconditions,
should provide cover for each other.

multilaterals - SDLP will facilitate if necessary

11DP - Gary

No 6

Work out means by which we formalise our program - I
don't believe anybody in this room thinks there
But going to be an Assembly

leg - write down 3 words of SF women, fears,
observations.

- not us who are pushing for strong devolution.

ECR will guarantee rights - on Q. of rights, there's

a consensus here.

scrutiny role - we've listened and have suggestions as to how it could be remedied

Strand 1 is where issues mostly affecting citizens will be remedied.

no. SF's are on Sunday. substance still the same.

content issue → well strain every sinew to address fears & reservations, but stop playacting on issue of meeting

GA 25F.

no sense of collective responsibility on Assembly, plenty of clear hints that you wouldn't work with some parties even if they were elected.

were opposed to an Assembly but were interested & we will discuss it.

UMP / DN 25b.7.

top up to bring about proportionality it would help undermine stability
half of parties supported... only
we wish genuine inclusivity, not happy with cabinet ^{style} inclusivity = elite style

equality

framework Convention for Protection of Minorities

we're not a party who says 'no'!
'yes' to full equality

PUP/David: Big battalions

AP/JA: re. SF - some propositions they haven't held of.
JA - doesn't support UUP position on the matter;
can't understand SF against it as it
↓

what UUP propose is what SF have been
proposing for Belfast City Council.