RECORD OF A PLENARY SESSION HELD AT PARLIAMENT BUILDINGS ON THE MORNING OF 9 MARCH 1992

Government Team

Secretary of State Minister of State

Mr Fell Mr Thomas Mr Bell Mr Maccabe

Talks Secretariat

Mr Lindsay Mr May

Also Present

Mr Fittall Mr Brooker Mr Smith Alliance Party

- Dr Alderdice Mr Morrow Mr Neeson
- Mr Ford Mrs Bell Mr McGarry Mr McBride Mr Dickson Mr Maguire Mr Jones

SDLP

Mr Hume Mr Mallon Mr McGrady

Mr Farren Mr Haughey Mrs Rodgers Dr Hendron Mr Feeley Mr Maginness Mr Gallagher Mr McClelland Mr Molyneaux Mr Cunningham Mr Nicholson Mr Empey Mr Allen Mr Trimble Mr Maginnis Mrs Bradford

Mr Donaldson Dr McGimpsey Rev Smyth

UDUP

UUP

Dr Paisley Mr Robinson Rev McCrea

Mr Dodds Mr Campbell Miss Paisley Mr Gibson Mr Vitty

The first plenary meeting of the new Talks began at 10.40 and concluded at 11.30.

2. The <u>Government Team</u> sought agreement, which was readily given, from the Party Delegations that the number of delegates of individual parties in the Conference Room might exceed 10 on this occasion. The <u>Government Team</u> passed on a message from the Prime Minister expressing his gratitude to the leaders of the four Parties for following up their 11 February meeting with him in such constructive fashion. The <u>Government Team</u> said that it was encouraging that everyone wanted to continue political dialogue. It was agreed that the basis for the Talks remained the statement of 26 March 1991.

3. The Government Team suggested that the ground to be covered

IN CONFIDENCE

in advance of the announcement of the election was the nature of the gap in conference meetings after the immediate post-election conference; the variations Parties would like to see to the structure of the previous Talks; whether matters of substance should be covered prior to the election or discussion of general themes should continue; future meetings that week; and what should be said to the press.

4. After discussion, the Party delegations agreed that no delegates would give press interviews about the Talks, and considered the possibility of sanctions imposed by their own party against those The UUP delegation said that the previous who broke this agreement. Talks had constituted the 'Second Reading stage' of the ground to be covered, and the process being embarked on now could be equated to the Committee stage of a Bill going through Parliament. It was clear that the Plenary Conference should be the ultimate decision-taking body, but there was a need for a Business Committee and a working committee to address Strand One issues. A plan of the committee structure they proposed was circulated (and is attached). The plan also envisaged Party Leaders being able to meet separately or with the Secretary of State to discuss matters when necessary. The UDUP delegation commented that discussions of Strands Two and Three were not appropriate at the present time, and suggested that committees or sub-committees to address these aspects ought not to be established at this point.

The SDLP delegation suggested the purpose of the pre-election 5. talks was to prepare the agenda for discussion thereafter and welcomed the clear indication of intent the meeting represented. It was important not to agree structures which tended to hinder the process by being too bureaucratic. If sub-committees were to be established, then other members of the teams would need to be available in the building to sanction any agreements reached. The UUP delegation said they wanted to see Talks begin as soon as possible after the post-election Inter-Governmental Conference, and their proposals were intended to facilitate this. The UDUP delegation agreed and said they believed a smaller groups would enable speedier progress to be made.

IN CONFIDENCE

6. The <u>Alliance Party delegation</u> were pleased that Talks had begun and agreed that a Business Committee with consistent membership would be useful. Rather than a Strand One committee, however, they recommended that sub-committees be established on specific issues such as finance and economy, powers to be transferred, the exercise of executive responsibility, constitutional protections and relations with bodies outside Northern Ireland such as Westminster, Republic of Ireland the EEC. The <u>UDUP delegation</u> said that relations with the Republic of Ireland were a Strand Two matter, and should not be addressed in Strand One. The <u>UUP delegation</u> said sub-committees to deal with specific points were catered for in their proposals.

7. The <u>SDLP delegation</u> said that a Business Committee should be established to identify an agenda for the talks. Only then would it be clear what role sub-committees could perform. The <u>Government</u> <u>Team</u> said that the exchange of views had been a valuable ground clearing exercise which would be resumed after coffee.

TALKS SECRETARIAT

RN/8325

HS/ADMIN2/799